

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/014,772	12/11/2001	William T. O'Grady	10011044-1	9907	
7590 07/07/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES			ORTIZ RODRIGUEZ, CARLOS R		
Legal Departme	ent, 51U-PD perty Administration		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 58043			2125		
Santa Clara, CA 95052-8043			DATE MAILED: 07/07/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

47			
Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/014,772	O'GRADY ET AL.		
Examiner ·	Art Unit		
Carlos Ortiz-Rodriguez	2125		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 06 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) 🔲 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL \_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: ... (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq\) will not be entered, or b) \(\sigma\) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet. ALBERT W. PALADINI **PRIMARY EXAMINER** 



Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: arguments are not persuasive with respect to the final rejection of record.

Continuation of 13. Other: The Examiner initiated an interview with Douglas L Weller. The language of claim 1 was discussed and a proposed amendment was faxed for the examiner to consider. The proposed amendment will be entered because the proposed language clarifies/better defines the claimed invention. However, maintaining the braodest reasonable interpretation of the claims in view of the disclosure found in applicants specification, it has been considered that the application is not in conditions for allowance. The entered amendments would be rejected utilizing the same art of record (USPN 5,657,252). USPN 5,657,252 discloses a control router and a plurality of manufacturing tools. The tools could be either elements 16 integrated in 18 (as suggested in by USPN 5,657,252) or elements 16 alone (in the art computers are considered tools). It should be noted that giving the term "manufacturing tool" its broadest resonable meaning in light of the disclosure found in applicants specification, it is determined that term manufacturing tool includes any tool which aids in the process of manufacturing. It should also be noted that the claim language does not specify the design and/or internal components of the control router. The claim is directed to a control router (specifically GEM Router of FIG 3), however the main elements of the control router are not claimed instead the control router seems to be described in terms of the connections/communication to and from the router. Therfore, it is not possible to distinguish the router of USPN 5,657,252 form the router in the claimed invention. Additionally, the meaning of term "virtual host interfaces" that appears in the claim language is not clearly defined. From the limited disclosure regarding the term "virtual host interface" there seems to be no difference between the claimed virtual interfaces and the interfaces in the router of USPN 5,657,252. The applicant fails to point out why the claimed "virtual host interfaces" would not be included in the "control router" taught by USPN 5,657,252. The Examiner expresses appreciation to Douglas L. Weller for conducting the referenced interview.